

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,513	07/03/2003	Brian Y. Lim	ATO-001.00	ATO-001.00 4790	
	590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER		
C George Yu 12707 High Blu	iff DRive		ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
Second Floor Pl			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725		
San Diego, CA	92130				
		•			

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/613,513	LIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE	M. Alexandra Elve	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this comm	unication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	 action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quavle, 1935 C.D. 11	453 O G 213	erits is		
Disposition of Claims	,,,	, 100 0.0.210.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.		·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with rolli consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Foliotion requirement				
Application Papers	election requirement.				
•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. \$	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been recei	ved in this National Stag	e		
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not recei	ved.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Acti	ion Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 200	044400		

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 219, subclass
 121.6.
- II. Claims 19-28, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 596.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used in a materially different process such as annealing a substrate.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Chiahua George Yu on September 24, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,513

Art Unit: 1725

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colbert et al. (US Pat. 6,756,026).

Colbert et al. discloses a system in which nanocomponents for nanodevices are made. The system has a mounting element such as a precision translation stage(s) having movement capabilities in the XYZ directions. Carbon feedstock gas may be added into the reactor. A catalyst may be formed in-situ using temperatures of 400 to 2000 C. Heat can be supplied in a locally using a laser (e.g. argon), microwave energy, or R-F energy. (abstract, figures, col. 4, lines 44-58, col. 7, lines 12-40, col. 9, lines 30-54, col. 25, lines 1-31, col. 26, lines 34-50)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,513

Art Unit: 1725

Colbert et al. does not teach multiple radiating energy beams (prongs) or the presence of a die.

Intended use has been continuously held not to be germane to determining the patentability of the apparatus, Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPQ 2d 1546 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889, 1891 (BPAI 1988); In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967); Ex parte Masham 2 USPQ 2d. 1647. Duplication of parts was held to have been obvious. In re Harza 124 USPQ 378.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,513

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 8, 2004.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER